i	
	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	
3	X
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 19cr576(BMC) :
5	Plaintiff, :
6	-against- : United States Courthouse : Brooklyn, New York
7	GENARO LUNA,
8	: Defendant. : Wednesday, November 30, 2022 : 2:30 p.m.
9	: X
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRIAN M. COGAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the Government: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
14	Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East
15	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: SARITHA KOMATIREDDY, ESQ.
16	Assistant United States Attorney
17	For THE DEFENDANT: THE LAW FIRM OF CESAR DE CASTRO, P.C. The District
18	111 Fulton Street - 602 New York, NY 10038
19	BY: CESAR DE CASTRO, ESQ.
20	MIEDEL & MYSLIWIEC, LLP 80 Broad Street, Suite 1900
21	New York, NY 10004 BY:FORIAN MIEDEL, ESQ.
22	
23	Court Reporter: SOPHIE NOLAN 225 Cadman Plaza East/Brooklyn, NY 11201
24	NolanEDNY@aol.com Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25	produced by Computer-Aided Transcription

2 Proceedings (Via Videoconference.) 1 2 (The Hon. Brian M. Cogan, presiding.) 3 THE COURT: Let me summarize for the record what 4 happened while the court reporter was off and if either lawyer 5 thinks that I got it wrong, they will add to it. While we were off the record we covered the fact 6 7 that all parties consent to doing this conference by video and 8 I made a finding under the CARES Act. I next asked the 9 prosecutor whether or not if we allow Appendix B to the 10 questionnaire to be shown to the jurors on the day of 11 selection for the first time, would that effectively disclose 12 all of the Government's witnesses. And she said that it 13 would. 14 All right, let me just ask the prosecutor then, why are we having a separate Exhibit B? 15 16 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, I don't think it needs to be separate. I think that it can be one exhibit and that 17 18 we just pass it out when the jurors come in for in-person voir 19 dire and that way we can keep that list secure and also 20 distribute it on a need-to-know basis to only those jurors who 21 end up coming in for voir dire. 22 THE COURT: Well, it is a separate list in that it's 23 separate from Attachment A that the jurors will have seen when 24 they first get the questionnaire; correct? 25 MS. KOMATIREDDY: My suggestion, Your Honor, is that

we edit the questionnaire to remove the, do you recognize these people question from the questionnaire part it and we can just ask that question when they come in in person.

THE COURT: You're presuming to do that with both Exhibits A and B?

MS. KOMATIREDDY: I'm just proposing that we combine -- have one list so that there's one exhibit and that we just pass that around -- have printed copies and pass it to the jurors when they come in on the days for questioning.

THE COURT: Give me approximately the total number of names that the jurors coming in for voir dire will be asked to review as they're being selected.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, I would expect it to be one to two pages, the names of counsel, witnesses and other people of interest would likely be maybe 70.

THE COURT: Don't you think that having to review 70 names is possibly going to increase the potential that a juror will skip over a name they might otherwise have had if they an earlier view of the list?

MS. KOMATIREDDY: I don't have a strong view, Your Honor. If you prefer that they get it at the time of the questionnaire we can do that. Now that the jurors are filling out questionnaires in January, our only request would be that we provide the list and I think the parties will be able to agree on a final list in January shortly before they are

needed by the jury coordinator office.

THE COURT: I am missing something here,

Ms. Komatireddy, because if, in fact, the government is going
to disclose all of its witnesses on what you've now described
as a merged list, then why do the parties have to agree? You
put in your names and the defendants put in their names and we
give it to the jurors.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: I think that's right, Your Honor.

All I'm really concerned is timing, that we don't distribute such a list now and we can wait until closer to the trial.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the defense.

MR. DeCASTRO: Thank you, Judge. This is Cesar de Castro. First, just to address -- I think you had mentioned addresses. I think there might have been a miscommunication there. We are not expecting or requesting addresses, either of witnesses or anybody. We understand that's anonymous. The issue with location I think that we raised is question number 52 which references Exhibit A says that the -- and this is my experience in prior questionnaire cases, is that we also have places that would be -- if people have experience with any of the places, the locations. And, so, that was something that was absent from the list that I was saying -- and I'm not in a position to know exactly what the Government is going to do at trial. So I figured we should have locations there because if

it turns out that someone lives next door to one of the locations that they're going to elicit testimony about, I think that's relevant. So that's the location part.

THE COURT: It is typical to list known locations where significant events occur as part of this elimination of conflict process with respect to jurors. I've seen that in about every case I've ever done. That list is independent of the residence or location of any of the witnesses. It's just to make sure, as Mr. De Castro stated, that, and this happened to me once, that someone doesn't say, oh, I walk through that area every day. I know exactly what it looks like.

Let me hear from the prosecutor on that.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: I agree, Your Honor. We have no objection to that. We'll add locations of interest.

THE COURT: Okay. So, anything that the defense needs to add to that or are you satisfied on that?

MR. DeCASTRO: On locations, all good.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, the jurors are coming in on January 9th. On January 7th then we will have the Government assemble a list. The defense will submit its list to the Government. The Government will assemble it, give it to the Jury Department. The Jury Department will attach the list to the questionnaire and then the jurors will be given the list when they come in to fill it out. Everyone agree?

MR. DeCASTRO: My only question is when does -- when

do the jury people need it to produce it? Is that the 7th or is that the -- I mean, it's days, I understand, but --

THE COURT: We will find out the latest possible date the Jury Department can have it. If it's earlier than the 7th, we'll get it to them, but it will be the latest possible day.

MR. DeCASTRO: And, Judge, if I could add, just on the names issue I just want the sort of record to be clear anyway, that my only concern was that all the names are there because I've had too many coincidences in trial practice that -- and I appreciate the Government's concerns about providing a witness list too early and all of that, but my understanding is the Government should be giving us a witness list in the coming days. So we're about a month out from trial and, so, to me this wasn't the tool.

It was just to make sure that list is complete so that we avoid any obvious conflicts. We will get to trial disclosures in a moment. I wanted to agree on the procedures for getting the jurors the questionnaire and I think we have agreed on that. We've agreed on the jurors, right?

MR. DeCASTRO: Yes, we are.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now let's talk about witness disclosure. I take Mr. de Castro's point. The Government may recall that in the last trial I believe I barred a government

witness for not having been disclosed when we set the timetable to disclose them.

Now, I understand that the Government has some sensitivity about the disclosure of witnesses and, again, this is entirely different than the names on the attachment to the questionnaire. This is witness disclosure.

So, let me ask the government. I know you have some concerns about not disclosing certain witnesses until a later point than other witnesses, but when -- how far in advance of each witness's testimony are you going to make a disclosure of that witness? If you want to group them into two groups you can do that.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, our plan is to disclose the bulk of the remaining -- make the bulk of the remaining disclosures by December 9th, which should include disclosing -- we've already disclosed the identity of certain cooperating witnesses and we expect to disclose the identity of the remaining cooperating witnesses by that date. There are some additional witnesses who we are working on securing or for other witnesses we would prefer to disclose their identities closer to their date of testimony.

Our plan on those was to make a judgment based on the volume of their 3500 material and when it would be reasonable to -- how far in advance is a reasonable amount of time for the defense to process that material. In general,

the second category of witness has less 3500 material than a cooperating witness who has a great deal of 3500 material.

THE COURT: All right, let me suggest this: The witnesses -- the witness list you're going to disclose by the 9th, that's not all that useful for the defense because you're going to give every possible witness, but you're going to give, for example, 50 witnesses and end up calling only 30 at trial.

So what I am suggesting is there has to be some period of time in advance of calling the witness, if the witness is not a sensitive witness, and then a shorter period of time if the witness is a sensitive witness. And these disclosures are rolling because, in fact, every day of the trial you will have an obligation to disclose the witnesses for that day of trial or that three-day of trial or however much the increments are that I order today. And what I would like to suggest is for non-sensitive witnesses, we do three-day in advance disclosure and for sensitive witnesses, we do no less than 24 hours disclosure. That's a proposal so what I want to ask the Government, is that workable?

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Yes, Your Honor. I think that is.

THE COURT: Let me hear from the defense.

MR. DeCASTRO: Judge, I just want to clarify so I'm on the same page, are we talking about disclosure of the witness or of the material, the 3500 material?

THE COURT: I haven't gotten to the 3500 material yet. I'm only covering how much notice you get that a witness is going to testify shortly in the trial. Three days for non-sensitive witnesses and one day for sensitive witnesses.

MR. DeCASTRO: So I'm assuming I have everything, you're just telling me you're calling them tomorrow or in three days, something like that.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. DeCASTRO: I think we're fine with that. My experience with several lengthy trials is usually the Government on Friday night tells us who they're calling next week. So I guess that would -- that's usually -- that's what I'm used to and it usually works really well. I did that in a six-month trial and I did that in a three-month trial and that was usually pretty good. That might be slightly more than three days I suppose. That's something I'm comfortable with but I think we can work on three, if that's what the Court wants.

THE COURT: Let's go with the schedule I set forth, three days/one day, as the default position. I would urge the government to give more time or notice if possible and whatever the parties work out in that connection, I will be glad to so order but if you can't work anything out despite my urging the Government to try to get a little more time on at least some of the witnesses than that, then the default will

10 W. Name - direct/cross - Atty 1 be three and one. 2 Now, with regard to the 3500 material let's talk 3 about the cooperating witnesses first. When is the earliest 4 date that the government is comfortable disclosing 3500 material in advance of a witness's testimony? 5 6 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, as I mentioned, we've 7 already disclosed several and we plan to disclose the 8 remainder by December 9th. If there's any reason it comes up 9 in the next few days that that's not going to be possible, we will let the Court know. 10 11 THE COURT: So, you believe that by the time the 12 magistrate judge sits down with the jurors, probably the week 13 before when the jurors are first getting the questionnaire, 14 you will have disclosed all 3500 material? 15 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Certainly December 9th for all 16 cooperating witnesses, Your Honor? Are you asking for all 17 witnesses? 18 THE COURT: I was actually saying January 9th but you raised a good point. What is the date prior to 19 20 January 9th when you're going to have at least the bulk of the 21 3500 material delivered? So you'll have both of them by 22 December 9th? 23 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: If there's additional 3500 material that 25 you have not produced by December 9th, I'm going to direct you

11 W. Name - direct/cross - Atty to produce it at the earliest date that you think you can 1 2 produce it, taking into account special circumstances that 3 would require it to be produced later than December 9th. 4 will direct the Government to keep in mind that obviously what 5 the defense is trying to avoid and what they're legitimately trying to avoid is the situation where you give them the name 6 7 of a cooperating witness the day before and they've got three 8 boxes of 3500 material to go through to prepare for that 9 witness. They want to be prepared in advance so you will have 10 to give them that 3500 material much earlier. 11 What I'm trying to avoid is a situation where the 12 defense has not had enough time to prepare for 13 cross-examination and if that happens I'm going to take a day 14 or two-day break from the trial to let them catch up. Okay, 15 are we all on the same page. MS. KOMATIREDDY: Yes, Your Honor. We understand. 16 17 We're trying to avoid both of those situations as well. 18 THE COURT: Anything else we need to talk about 19 today? 20 MR. DeCASTRO: Not from the defense, Judge. 21 THE COURT: Is the government okay. 22 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Can I ask logistical questions 23 about the trial, Your Honor? I understand I think the last 24 time we discussed this Your Honor you had mentioned that you

don't ordinarily sit on Fridays in a long trial.

25

```
12
                     W. Name - direct/cross - Atty
1
              THE COURT: Correct.
 2
              MS. KOMATIREDDY: Should there arise a question
3
    regarding witness availability, is that something that the
4
    Court would entertain doing, obviously after we applied for it
    and with sufficient notice?
5
6
              THE COURT: Well, I will entertain anything, but the
7
    questionnaires are going to tell the jurors no Fridays. If
8
    there is some reason that a witness cannot be examined on
9
    Thursday or the following Monday, but only on the Friday,
    we'll have to play it by ear.
10
              MS. KOMATIREDDY: I understand.
11
12
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you all for calling
13
         We'll be seeing a lot of each other soon.
14
15
               (Matter adjourned.)
16
                               - 00000 -
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```